

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/740,177	12/18/2000	Hollis Newcomb White	7552	7460
75	90 07/29/2003			
WILLIAM S. LIGHTBODY			EXAMINER	
32600 FAIRMOUNT BLVD., 100 PEPPER PIKE, OH 44124			ELEY, TIMOTHY V	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003	14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Comments	09/740,177	WHITE, HOLLIS NEWCOMB(L)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Timothy V Eley	3724			
* The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	ne timely filed  days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 N	November 2002, and 12 May 2	2003 .			
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	ing in the application				
4) Claim(s) 2,13-16,24-26 and 28-41 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) 2,24-26 and 31-41 is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 13-16,28 and 30 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 3724

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C.

112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - a. Claim 30 as amended is not fully understood. How can a circumference extend from 0-100 of the rotary fine grinding wheel(i.e. how can a circumference be 0?)?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. Claims 13-16, and 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Onodera as applied in the rejection on page 3 of paper number 7.
- 4. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hornby et al.
  - a. Claim 30, as far as it is understood, is rejected under 102(e) as clearly anticipated by Hornby et al. See specifically figure 4.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed November 27, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/740,177

Application/Control Number: 09/740,177

Art Unit: 3724

a. Applicant argues that the Onodera patent does not disclose any teaching of dressing the fine grinding surface to a convex shape.

Page 3

- i. The Onodera apparatus is capable of dressing the fine grinding surface to convex shapes as recited by applicant. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).
- b. Applicant argues that claims 13-16, 28, and 29 recited dressing "the fine grinding surface to a convex shape".
  - i. However, claim 28 does not recite such a limitation. Hopefully applicant does not truly believe that a recitation of "(i)n a system utilizing a grinding wheel, the improvement of a dresser and movement means to move said dresser in respect to the grinding wheel" is patentable subject matter. It is believed that claim 28 is merely an oversight by applicant.
- c. Applicant argues that modifying Onodera to produce anything other than a uniform flat surface is against the teachings thereof by purposely varying the surfaces of the grinding wheels from a "known value".
  - i. It should be noted that the Onodera apparatus does not need to be modified in order to produce a convex surface.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/740,177

Art Unit: 3724

The Onodera apparatus is capable of producing a convex surface as broadly recited by applicant.

- d. Applicant argues that claim 30 has been amended to recite that the outer extent extends "from 0-100% of the fine grinding wheel".
  - i. However, applicant recites, "an outside circumference with an outer extend extending from 0-100 of the rotary fine grinding wheel". It is not readily apparent as to exactly what is meant by an outside circumference with an outer extent extending from 0-100 of the rotary fine grinding wheel.
- e. Applicant argues that there is no teaching of a convex shape in the Hornby reference.
  - i. However, figure 2 clearly discloses a convex shape which is 20-40% of the outer extent of the grinding wheel.

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 2,24-26, and 31-41 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/740,177

Art Unit: 3724

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy V Eley whose telephone number is 703-308-1824. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Timothy V Eley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3724 Page 5

tve July 27, 2003